

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

/ 15

Objective	Unacceptable	Marginal	Acceptable	Exemplary	Pt Value
<b>Thoughtful engagement with central questions</b>	Your comprehension of central questions is inadequate, and your application of them to your own questions and beliefs doesn't show a great deal of reflectiveness.	Your comprehension of central questions, and your application of them to your own questions and beliefs, are perfunctory or superficial though complete.	Your comprehension of central questions is solid, and your application of them to your own questions and beliefs is adequate and respectful, though you could explain yourself a little more.	Your comprehension of central questions is excellent, and your application of them to your own questions and beliefs is thoughtful and respectful.	<b>2</b>
<b>Critical reflection on social influences shaping your beliefs</b>	You do not reflect thoughtfully and critically on the social factors that have shaped your beliefs.	You tend not to reflect thoughtfully and critically on the social factors that have shaped your beliefs. You only occasionally discuss how they became true for you.	You reflect thoughtfully and critically on the social factors that have shaped your beliefs, but you don't do this evenly for all questions. You are able to stand apart from your beliefs and discuss how they became true for you on some, but not all, questions.	You reflect thoughtfully and critically on the social factors that have shaped your beliefs. You are able to stand apart from your beliefs and discuss how they became true for you.	<b>3</b>
<b>Integration of Jewish, Christian and Muslim community responses</b>	You do not cover two questions per tradition, or cover them but very thinly. Your integration and comprehension of their beliefs with your own is spotty or inaccurate. You create a "straw man" out of a tradition rather than engaging it on its own terms.	You cover two questions per tradition unevenly (some are strong, but some are weak). You integrate the communities' responses to your reflections on your own beliefs, though your integration and comprehension of their beliefs has some inaccuracies or gaps. You treat the traditions with an open mind even if you disagree with them.	You cover two questions per tradition. You integrate the communities' responses to your reflections on your own beliefs, though your integration and comprehension of their beliefs could be stronger. You treat the traditions with an open mind even if you disagree with them.	You cover two questions per tradition. You integrate thoughtfully the communities' responses with your reflections on your own beliefs, demonstrating comprehension of the course reading. You treat the traditions with an open mind even if you disagree with them.	<b>4</b>
<b>Clarity of Expression</b>	Poor grammar and spelling seriously impede effective communication.	Grammar and spelling are a problem, but your ideas are nevertheless apparent.	Occasional grammar and spelling problems, but good variation in sentence styles.	No grammar and spelling errors. Good variety of sentence styles.	<b>2</b>
<b>Format and Style</b>	Failure to conform paper to Style Sheet.	Some problems with a few of the paper elements (margins, type face/size, general format).	Problem with margins, type face/size or general format.	Paper conforms to Style Sheet.	<b>2</b>
<b>Timely Submission</b>	Submission significantly late (more than one day).	Submission late by a day, or both copies late within a few hours.	Submission late within 2 hours.	Submission turned in by the deadline.	<b>2</b>